

- [24] S.C. Wilkinson, W.J.M. Maas, J.B. Nielsen, L.C. Greaves, J.J.M. van de Sandt, F.M. Williams. Interactions of skin thickness and physicochemical properties of test compounds in percutaneous penetration studies. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health* **79** (2006) 405–413.
- [25] F. Heuber, F. Ouvrard-Baraton, J.P. Biesse, P. Courtellemont, C.M. Vincent, J.P. Marty. Pig ear skin as a model for in vitro percutaneous absorption studies: Preliminary results of an inter-laboratory validation. In: K.R. Brain, K.A. Walters, editors. *Perspectives in percutaneous penetration*, Vol. **6a**. Cardiff: STS Publishing Ltd (1998).
- [26] B.E. Vecchia, A.L. Bunge. *Transdermal drug delivery* (pp. 25–55). New York: Marcel Dekker (2003).
- [27] H. Baba, J. Takahara, H. Mamitsuka. In silico predictions of human skin permeability using nonlinear quantitative structure-property relationships models. *Pharmaceutical Research* **32** (2015) 2360–2371.
- [28] K. Roy. On some aspects of validation of predictive quantitative structure–activity relationship models. *Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery* **2(12)** (2007) 1567–1577.
- [29] A. Tropsha, P. Gramatica, V. Gombar. The Importance of Being Earnest: Validation is the Absolute Essential for Successful Application and Interpretation of QSPR Models. *QSAR & Combinatorial Science* **22(1)** (2003) 69–77.

©2018 by the authors; licensee IAPZ Zagreb, Croatia. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>) 

Article in Press